

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/325,705	06/04/1999	TORU YAMADA	088941-0138	3162
7590 02/04/2004			EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER			WONG, ALLEN C	
WASHINGTON HARBOUR 3000 K STREET N W SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 25696			2613	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 200078696		DATE MAILED: 02/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v e	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/325,705	YAMADA, TORU				
navicory notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allen Wong	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention.	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	•					
10. Other:						
		J'Ella				
		HRIS KELLEY ORY PATENT EXAMINER				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	SUPERVISO TECHNO	OLOGY CENTER 2600				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

6

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all of the broad limitations of the claims are met by the combination of Yonemitsu in view of Matsushima. The applicant has essentially argued the same point as before in the applicant's previous response sent on July 22, 2003. As stated before, applicant asserts that Yonemitsu does not disclose the field selector to select one of odd and even fields forming each frame. The examiner respectfully disagrees. See figure 29 and and col.29, ln.3-8. At the field encoding mode, note that only one field, ie. even or odd, is selected for use during the determination of the frame based on the pixel data calculations, where each field has some of the plurality of field blocks in which a group of field pixels form a field block. Thus, the field, odd or even, that best represents the frame image is determined by calculating the even field motion vector and the odd field motion vector. And whichever field motion vector has the least amount of error, that field motion vector will ultimately determine the field used for display a high quality image frame.